

Those Questioning Evolution shall be Burned at the Stake

By Samuel J Alibrando

When facts fail, other strategies emerge. Like most Americans educated in public school, I was taught and easily believed evolution. At 52, I see huge SCIENTIFIC flaws in the general theory.

I am pleased with Americans questioning things taught by condescending experts that feel no need to prove their theories but criticize amateurs for questioning their expertise.

The Scopes trial, when religious bigots condemned the evolutionary theory for its anti-Bible implications, was an embarrassment because religious custom is no answer to scientific questions.

Today, the pendulum has swung the other way and now evolutionists dodge scientific questions by accusing all challengers as being religiously motivated. What a funny switch. Just like creationists during the Scopes Monkey trial in 1925 dodged questions by pointing their finger and accusing scientists as being heathen. Today, evolutionists are dodging questions by pointing their finger and accusing all challengers as being religious. Again, not a scientific answer.

It is a comedy to watch. Intellectual types making sweeping statements about the evolutionary theory being well-accepted. Well-accepted is not a scientific answer.

If someone asks, Since we have never witnessed a brand new species emerging from a different species, how do you justify claims that all species today emerged exactly that way?

Response: You religious fanatic. Get out of here with those religious questions.

After the questioner is dragged out in handcuffs for trying to mix religion with science, the evolutionist assures the crowd, About that question; we all know evolution is a well-accepted fundamental tenet of science. Shall we move along?

I saw this in the Kansas City Star [Panel speaks out for evolution Diane Carroll]. Frankly, I see a group running scared. I see the evolutionists intimidated by those who ask rational questions and making good sense with criticisms - downright scientific.

The evolutionists response is telling Americans to be loyal to customs and ban all challengers. The last thing they want is a battle of fact finding. The last thing they want is a genuine review of how unwarranted scientific credibility is for evolution. It has been more than 80 years since the Scopes trial many billions of dollars spent to research the theory. Where's the beef?

They boycott meetings saying they don't want to give scientific credibility to intelligent design theories. Ha. Maybe they can just make the public think anyone who challenges them is stupid and doesn't deserve to be seriously considered. Yeah, that might work.

In the article, an investor, John Lillard Burch, is afraid intelligent design will hurt business. OK, well that's not too scientific. At least Burch is admitting he is money motivated but the argument is completely without merit.

Krishtalka, a Kansas University scientist jumps out of his science circle and does the standard lame claim declaring they are all religious. And leave it to the same scientist who prefers accusing people of being religious rather than make a scientific statement to say nature is not intelligently designed. How could a scientist claim nature is not

intelligent? Well, according to Krishtalka, because men have nipples and there are some birds that can't fly. Yeah, he really said that in the article.

The whole world admires the genius and marvel of nature and this guy says nature doesn't look intelligent. This panel is definitely losing credibility fast.

Then take Joseph Heppert who is director of the Kansas University Center for Science. Even though evolution continues receiving more and more challenges as seen by articles popping up throughout the U.S.; he makes the declaration evolution is not a controversial theory Doesn't he know why he is being interviewed for national news coverage?

Also amazing was the summary by the journalist who said that the resounding theme was that a poor biology education in Kansas would translate into a poorly prepared work force for the bioscience industry. I can hardly contain myself. I am smiling so big I feel silly. People ask for scientific proof for an evolutionary theory and may get to hear alternate views and this is being called a threat to biology education and a financial threat to Kansas???? I hope some readers are laughing. I mean no disrespect but this seems a little overdramatic to me.

This article was outlined in drama. The opening had to do with college students thinking of moving out of Kansas so they can raise their families somewhere where intelligent design of nature is not considered.

How can I refrain from sarcasm? Crime, no problem. Bad air, who cares. High taxes, traffic safety, child molesters, divorce, violence on TV, unrestrained greed, dishonesty, war, poisons in our food, disease from obesity, these are concerns but if someone says intelligent design may require intelligence, we're moving out of Kansas!

I am totally serious. This is not from me. These are concerns expressed in the article as though a serious threat.

Honestly, do you think if the ingenuity of nature is admired and if the possibility that is was designed is discussed in Kansas classrooms, taxpayers will evacuate the state?

Folks these are not rational arguments, let alone scientific.

I would say we should let the same argument be presented on behalf of the intelligent design movement as was used during the Scopes monkey trial in 1925 Academic Freedom Don't bully with censorship and silly claims. Just let students listen, think and decide based on what they hear. Let them consider what is the most scientific credible. They are only theories what are evolutionists so afraid of students having a choice?

Samuel J Alibrando is the author of an upcoming book due out in August °INature Never Stops Talking www.NatureNeverStopsTalking.com